

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,861	12/31/2003	Stratton C. Lloyd	OIC0118US	6302
60975 CAMPBELL S	7590 07/11/2011 ETEPHENSON LLP	EXAMINER		
11401 CENTU	RY OAKS TERRACE		SHIH, HAOSHIAN	
BLDG. H, SUI AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/749,861	LLOYD ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HAOSHIAN SHIH	2173			

	HAOSHIAN SHIH	2173					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavitial (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exh under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4.5.8-13.16.23 and 26-28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
HSS	/Alvin H Tan/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2172					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the limitation "wherein the requesting of the re-generation to is performed subsequent to the generating the requested gislap yage, and the re-generation of the non-realtime information produces re-generated non-realtime information, and caching the re-generated non-realtime information as cached regenerated non-realtime information, wherein the cached re-generated non-realtime information is configured be used when generating another instance of the requested display page in response to a subsequent request for the requested display page" is supported in par. [10027] and fig. 1

In response to applicants argument, fig.7, block "703" cities an "or" condition, wherein if the non-realitme information has been cached, then retrieve ("704" "705") and display ("708") the non-realitme information from the cache. ELSE request the non-realitme information to be generated ("706") and the user can refresh the non-realitme information ("707"). The cited figure and paragraph do not disclose whether or not the regenerated non-realitme information ("706") is cached. Applicant may remove the outstanding 112 rejection by pointing out where the application discloses caching the recenterated non-realitme information ("707").

Applicant argues that provisional Rajkumar does not disclose "wherein the requesting of the re-generation is performed subsequent to the generating the requested display page, and the re-generation of the non-realtime information, and caching the re-generated non-realtime information, and caching the re-generated non-realtime information."

In response to applicant's argument, provisional Rajkumar discloses a cache regeneration process wherein the cache manager receivies a record update from the application manager and regenerates/updates the cache file. (provisional Rajkumar, pg.6).